

Reporting requirements as defined by Diamons IR, Grant RC, Feldman BM, et al. Defining consensus: a systematic review recommends methodologic criteria for reporting of Delphi studies. J Clin Epidemiol 2014; 67: 401-9.

Number	Item	Compliance
1	Does the Delphi study aim to address consensus?	Yes.
2	Is the objective of the Delphi study to present results (eg, a list or statement) reflecting the consensus of the group, or does the study aim to merely quantify the level of agreement?	The objective of the Delphi study to present results (eg, a list or statement) reflecting the consensus of the group.
3	How will participants be selected or excluded?	Selected by a steering committee to represent all stakeholders involved in the management of massive hemorrhage.
4	How will the consensus be defined?	Defined explicitly in the methods for round 1 and rounds 2+
5	If applicable, what threshold value will be required for the Delphi to be stopped based on the achievement of consensus?	Median 5.5 or higher and no comments to further improve phrasing
6	What criteria will be used to determine when to stop the Delphi in the absence of consensus?	Based statement by statement (Median 2.5 or less removed from Delphi process as defined in the methods)
7	Were items dropped?	Yes due to merging with other related statements
8	What criteria will be used to determine which items to drop?	Based statement by statement (Median 2.5 or less removed from Delphi process as defined in the methods)
9	What criteria will be used to determine to stop the Delphi process or will the Delphi be run for a specific number of rounds only?	Median 5.5 or higher and no comments to further improve phrasing