| | Item No | Recommendation | Page No |
|------------------------------|------------|---|---|
| Title and abstract | 1 | (<i>a</i>) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract | Page 2 |
| | | (<i>b</i>) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found | Page 2 |
| Introduction | | | |
| Background/rationale | 2 | Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported | Page 3 Line 47- 57 |
| Objectives | 3 | State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses | Page 3 Line 58- 60 |
| Methods | | | |
| Study design | 4 | Present key elements of study design early in the paper | Page 3-4 |
| Setting | 5 | Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection | Page 3-5 Line 63- 94 |
| Participants | 6 | (<i>a</i>) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up | Page 4-5 Line 71- 94 |
| | | (b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed | |
| Variables | 7 | Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable | Page 5 Line 95- 100 |
| Data sources/ measurement | 8* | For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group | Page 5 Line 95- 100 |
| Bias | 9 | Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias | N/A |
| Study size | 10 | Explain how the study size was arrived at | N/A |
| Quantitative variables | 11 | Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why | Page 5 Line 101-105 |
| Statistical methods | 12 | (a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding (b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions (c) Explain how missing data were addressed (d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed (<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses | N/A |
| Results | | | |
| Participants | 13* | (a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study, completing follow-up, and analysed (b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage (c) Consider use of a flow diagram | Page 5-6 Line 108-112 Figure 1 |
| Descriptive data | 14* | (a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social) and information on exposures and potential confounders (b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest | Page 6-7 Line 113-151 |

| (c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount) Outcome data 15* Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time | | | e Page 7 | |
|---|----|--|-------------------------|--|
| Outcome data | | 15 Report numbers of outcome events of summary measures over tim | Line | |
| | | | 145-151 | |
| | | | | |
| Main results 10 | 16 | (a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and | | |
| | | their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | (c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk | for a | |
| | | meaningful time period | | |
| Other analyses | 17 | Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and se | ensitivity N/A | |
| | | analyses | - | |
| Discussion | | | | |
| Key results | 18 | Summarise key results with reference to study objectives | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | Line 154-159 | |
| | | | Line | |
| | | | 203-216 | |
| Limitations | 19 | Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias of | or Page 10- | |
| | | imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias | 11 Line | |
| | | | | |
| Interpretation | 20 | Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitati | 217-226 ions Page 11 | |
| | 20 | | Line | |
| | | multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant eviden | ice 227-234 | |
| Generalisability | 21 | Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results | | |
| | | | Line 227-234 | |
| | , | | 227-234 | |
| Other informati | | Circulture and the set of the fundaments of the | d if N/A | |
| Funding | 22 | Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and | J, II | |
| | | applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based | | |

*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.