Manuscript: Exploring Paramedic Care for First Nations in Alberta: A Qualitative Thematic Analysis of Sharing Circle Data

Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative studies (COREQ): 32-item checklist

Developed from:

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*. 2007. Volume 19, Number 6: pp. 349 – 357

No. Item	Guide questions/description	Reported on Page #
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity		
Personal Characteristics		
1. Inter viewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview or focus group?	7-8
2. Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials? E.g. PhD, MD	7-8
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	7-8, 31-32
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	7-8, 31-32
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	7-8, 31-32
Relationship with participants		
6. Relationship established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	5-6
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher? e.g. personal goals, reasons for doing the research	Page 5
8. Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator? e.g. Bias, assumptions, reasons and interests in the research topic	7-8, 31-32

Domain 2: study design		
Theoretical framework		
9. Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study? e.g. grounded theory, discourse analysis, ethnography, phenomenology, content analysis	5, 7-8
Participant selection		
10. Sampling	How were participants selected? e.g. purposive, convenience, consecutive, snowball	5
11. Method of approach	How were participants approached? e.g. face-to-face, telephone, mail, email	6
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	8
13. Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	Not described We do not know how many chose not to enroll after seeing recruitment materials. No participants withdrew.
Setting		
14. Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected? e.g. home, clinic, workplace	4
15. Presence of non- participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	No.
16. Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample? e.g. demographic data, date	9
Data collection		
17. Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors? Was it pilot tested?	6-7, Figure 2
18. Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out? If yes, how many?	No
19. Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	6

20. Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or after the interview or focus group?	6
21. Duration	What was the duration of the interviews or focus group?	Page 5
22. Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	No. We do not adhere to saturation as our goal and do not believe it is an appropriate standard for all forms of qualitative work. Instead we intended to generate new insights relevant to the topic.
23. Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	No.
Domain 3: analysis and findings		
Data analysis		
24. Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	8
25. Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	Figure 3
26. Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	8
27. Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	8
28. Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	26, Validation with Elders
Reporting		
29. Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified? e.g. participant number	Table 2,3,4
30. Data and findings	Was there consistency between the data	Results,
consistent 31. Clarity of major	presented and the findings? Were major themes clearly presented in	Interpretation Results,
themes	the findings?	Interpretation
32. Clarity of minor	Is there a description of diverse cases or	Results,
themes	discussion of minor themes?	Interpretation